For the Northern District of California

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	IRA LEE SMITH, No. C-11-5784 EMC (pr)
9	Plaintiff,
10	v. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
11	WARREN RUPF, Sheriff; et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	On June 4, 2012, mail was sent from the Court to Plaintiff at the address he provided in his
15	most recent filing and was returned undelivered on June 12, 2012, marked "return to sender" and
16	"not in custody." More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the Court
17	undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party
18	proceeding pro se must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of
19	Change of Address specifying the new address" when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b)
20	allows the Court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a pro se party is
21	returned as not deliverable and the <i>pro se</i> party fails to send a current address within sixty days of
22	the return of the undelivered mail. This action is dismissed without prejudice because Plaintiff
23	failed to keep the Court informed of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a). The Clerk
24	shall close the file.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: September 25, 2012

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge